



## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Transparate Office

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

CONTROL	NUMBER FILING DATE
90/004752	NUMBER FILING DATE
09/014518	1/28/98

PATENT UNDER REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
5,472,790	5355-AE01/RE02

EXAMINER GRAY J. ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1774

DATE MAILED:

## REEXAMINATION INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM

All participants (patent owner, patent owner's representative, PTO personnel):
(1) Examiner J. GRAY (3) Mr. Marvin Mick
(2) MR. Maxwell (4)
Date of interview: 0ct. 22, 1998
Type: Telephonic, Personal: (copy given to patent owner, patent owner's representative).
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes, No. If yes, brief description:
Agreement: was reached with respect to some of the claims in question, was not reached.
Claims discussed: All
Identification of prior art discussed: GB 2248177; Rosmat printed brochunes; Counter-waid printed
product biochuras
Description of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, and/or any other comments:
Discussed rejection under 36450 251, applicants argued that present claims are narrower; discussed
112 - new matter is suce, applicants argued that any Subrange within broad range would function as
intended and that issue is obviousness, not new matter; Discussed means-plus function language interesting
applicants argued that this language limits one to what is disclosed in the specifications discharge that the
applicants arenal that this language limits on to what is disclosed in the specifications of that the local that prior art is not inherent that properties and that the Country main thickness is loss than recited in present claims; and that applicants made improvement (A fuller description, it necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims patentable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments which would render the claims patentable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)
A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. IF A RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION HAS ALREADY BEEN FILED, THEN PATENT OWNER IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW. 27.0 F.B. 1.560(b).
DATE TO PROVIDE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. 37 C.F.R. 1.560(b).  EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c).  A A CAL PART ALLO ANGLE OF THE LOW ANGLE OF THE INTERVIEW.
EXTENSIONS OF TIME ARE GOVERNED BY 37 CFR 1.550(c). Applicants also argaed that the set application i contain to prior insertion thus derivation dose not apply. Applicants also argaed that the set application contains the set applicants of others.  CC: Requester
(Examiner's Signature)
2TOL 474 (2-90)